

The Firearm Blog

Firearms not Politics

- [Home](#)
- [Advertise on The Firearm Blog](#)
- [As Seen In](#)
- [About](#)
- [Contact](#)

Beretta ARX 160 in Turkmenistan

The Turkmenistan Army is now rocking Beretta ARX 160 rifles chambered in 5.56mm NATO.





[Many thanks to Albi for emailing us the link.]

Related Posts

- [History of Assault Rifles and Ammunition](#)
- [Chinese snipers win Military and Police Sniper World Cup '11](#)
- [H&K MR-308 at IWA 2011](#)
- [India Training School Girls to Fight Militants](#)

Sort The Responses Below: Most Recent | Highest Rated

21 Responses to “Beretta ARX 160 in Turkmenistan”

1. *Lance*wrote on February 28th, 2012 at 8:30 am [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

Like the TAVOR is in some other Russian Republics these rifles are for troops attached to NATO or UN security forces. While regular Army still uses AK-74s.

Please rate this comment: 3 2

2. *C*wrote on February 28th, 2012 at 7:14 am [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

Is it me or do the heads of the soldiers wearing the blue berets look badly photoshopped?

Please rate this comment: 3 0

3. *lex*wrote on February 28th, 2012 at 3:13 am [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

that rifle the sniper is holding is really fucking hard to see

This comment has been well-received! What do you think? 20 0

- *Steve (The Firearm Blog)* responded to *lex* on February 28th, 2012 at 2:49 pm [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

mission accomplished 😊

This comment has been well-received! What do you think? 5 0

- *Other Steve* responded to *lex* on February 29th, 2012 at 6:52 am [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

You'll know something is up if his two large black gloves are extended towards you... Not a hug.

Please rate this comment: 0 0

4. David wrote on February 28th, 2012 at 2:36 am [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

For a minute I thought the guy in the middle was just holding an upper receiver on top of an ammo box...took me a minute to realize it was an LMG of some sort, hah 😊

Please rate this comment:  1  0

5. Doesitmatter? wrote on February 28th, 2012 at 2:20 am [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

I am really wondering how much money has “rich” Turkmenistan to spend on fancy spaghetti-rifle. Besides of chaging ejection from right to left, what else can you do with it? Can you convert it quickly to LSW or carbine? For them the AK was the best thing for another 100 years to come.

This comment has sparked a hot debate! What do you think?  6  9

- Vitor responded to **Doesitmatter?** on February 28th, 2012 at 5:17 am [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

Well, you can fold the stock, something from that an AR can't since it's design requires a stupid giant buffer. And can run reliably of 1/10 of lub that the AR requires.

And the ARX-160 can actually change from carbine to a DMR rifle in 10 seconds since it has the quickest barrel change i've ever seen.

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  8  1

- Doesitmatter? responded to **Vitor** on February 28th, 2012 at 5:23 am [Link To Comment](#) |

I guess I have to update myself on ARX. My spaghetti part of comment comes out of ‘spaghetti strainer’ like appearance. No ethnic pun is meant.

Please rate this comment:  2  1

- hannibal responded to **Doesitmatter?** on February 29th, 2012 at 1:27 am [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

the arx160 can switch the ammo from 5,56nato to 7,62 soviet with a simple kit

the could take the arx 160 as a standard rifle without giving up the old caliber

Please rate this comment:  0  0

6. REMOV wrote on February 27th, 2012 at 8:06 pm [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

Funny thing, the next unit marched at the same parade in November 2011 got the Israeli CTAR-21s carbines 😊

Please rate this comment:  1  0

7. Bob Z Moose wrote on February 27th, 2012 at 12:59 pm [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

I thought it said “lol” on the top pic. Fits with the guy in the gillie suit. Watch, Fail Blog will have that pick up in no time.

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  4  0

- fcp503 responded to **Bob Z Moose** on February 27th, 2012 at 2:31 pm [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

When the army of Turk-freaking-menistan equips it's troops with a better rifle than the USA, now that is just downright humiliating and embarassing. It it long past time for the idiots at Aberdeen and Picatinny to stop dreaming about some pie in the sky space gun and give our people the state of the art equipment they deserve.

This comment has sparked a hot debate! What do you think?  15  19

- W responded to **fcp503** on February 27th, 2012 at 3:41 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

now cmon, you are expecting the corruption to end in our defense industry to end and a superior rifle to be fielded without siphoning away millions from the taxpayer?

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  21  1

- W responded to **fcp503** on February 27th, 2012 at 3:42 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

*typo sorry

Please rate this comment:  0  0

- *Bob Z Mooser* responded to **fcp503** on February 27th, 2012 at 3:56 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

You didn't even mention the silly goose in the gillie suit or smash me for not loving the tacticoolness of it all. I'm kinda sad. 😞

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  7  0

- *Sid* responded to **fcp503** on February 28th, 2012 at 10:27 am [Link To Comment](#) |

While I appreciate the spirit of what you are saying, I think you may suffer from a lack of confidence in the US military that is unwarranted.

Buying a few weapons for one unit or the whole damn army of Turkmenistan is not as daunting a task as buying a new rifle for US military. Sheer numbers make the math formidable. And don't forget that money is not the only hurdle. The supply chain and support changes that will be needed are unbelievable.

Right now, if the US leaders have been paying attention at all... they are told that we need a rifle that shoots a bigger bullet farther but can also be configured as a sniper rifle but with quick change of a barrel become a PDW but should also function well as an automatic rifleman but have the ability to mount optics, laser designators, and night vision devices while being low maintenance and in its standard configuration be a select fire assault rifle with iron sights or optics but also share the same ammo with the M249 and our NATO allies. I may have left something out.

Until there is something so much better in an assault rifle that it makes sense to replace the M4, I would not make a decision either. The cost of replacing the M4 is significant. I have not seen one rifle yet that justifies the expenditure. Yes, some rifle and new calibers have niches. But overall, what does that one rifle do so well that it beats the cost of replacing a fleet of weapons?

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  11  0

- *W* responded to **fcp503** on February 28th, 2012 at 12:11 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

“Until there is something so much better in an assault rifle that it makes sense to replace the M4, I would not make a decision either. The cost of replacing the M4 is significant. I have not seen one rifle yet that justifies the expenditure. Yes, some rifle and new calibers have niches. But overall, what does that one rifle do so well that it beats the cost of replacing a fleet of weapons?”

I believe this contention is being unfair. Like I said before, when you stick to the 5.56 round and AR15 magazine, how can you ever hope a design will be “so much better”? you cannot. When you stick with these parameters, nothing short of a caseless rifle or phaser can provide radical improvement to warrant replacing the M4...assuming the technology has matured.

There are weapon systems that are more accurate, reliable, modular, and overall superior to the M4, though as long the military keeps sticking to the “it does not have measurable improvement” frame of thinking...nothing in 5.56 will have measurable improvement. What is this vague, elusive standard “for so much better” anyways?

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  5  0

- *Leonard* responded to **fcp503** on February 28th, 2012 at 9:42 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

“When you stick with these parameters, nothing short of a caseless rifle or phaser can provide radical improvement to warrant replacing the M4...assuming the technology has matured.”

Well, start asking H&K for the G11 blueprints then...an almost fully functioning caseless rifle right there. The main reason for it not becoming the standard service rifle of the Bundeswehr was that Germany massively reduced defence spending at that time, as the Cold War had just ended. Technically, the rifle was sound, except for the not fully solved problem of premature cook-off due to heat. But I'm fairly certain that could have been solved with some further research.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Heckler_%26_Koch_G11

Please rate this comment:  4  1

- o C3P0responded to **Bob Z Moose** on February 27th, 2012 at 4:51 pm [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

somebody left there gloves on this pile of leaves. . .wait a second. . . Did it take anyone else a few minutes to pick out the rifle?

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  11  0

- noobresponded to **C3P0** on February 28th, 2012 at 1:38 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

sounds like they need to issue new gloves 😊

Please rate this comment:  3  0

1. lexwrote on February 28th, 2012 at 3:13 am [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

that rifle the sniper is holding is really fucking hard to see

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  20  0

- o [Steve \(The Firearm Blog\)](#)responded to **lex** on February 28th, 2012 at 2:49 pm [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

mission accomplished 😊

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  5  0

- o **Other Steve**responded to **lex** on February 29th, 2012 at 6:52 am [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

You'll know something is up if his two large black gloves are extended towards you... Not a hug.

Please rate this comment:  0  0

2. **Bob Z Moose**wrote on February 27th, 2012 at 12:59 pm [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

I thought it said "lol" on the top pic. Fits with the guy in the gillie suit. Watch, Fail Blog will have that pick up in no time.

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  4  0

- o C3P0responded to **Bob Z Moose** on February 27th, 2012 at 4:51 pm [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

somebody left there gloves on this pile of leaves. . .wait a second. . . Did it take anyone else a few minutes to pick out the rifle?

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  11  0

- noobresponded to **C3P0** on February 28th, 2012 at 1:38 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

sounds like they need to issue new gloves 😊

Please rate this comment:  3  0

- o fcp503responded to **Bob Z Moose** on February 27th, 2012 at 2:31 pm [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

When the army of Turk-freaking-menistan equips it's troops with a better rifle than the USA, now that is just downright humiliating and embarrassing. It it long past time for the idiots at Aberdeen and Picatinny to stop dreaming about some pie in the sky space gun and give our people the state of the art equipment they deserve.

This comment has sparked a hot debate! What do you think?  15  19

- **W**responded to **fcp503** on February 27th, 2012 at 3:41 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

now cmon, you are expecting the corruption to end in our defense industry to end and a superior rifle to be fielded without siphoning away millions from the taxpayer?

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  21  1

- **Sid**responded to **fcp503** on February 28th, 2012 at 10:27 am [Link To Comment](#) |

While I appreciate the spirit of what you are saying, I think you may suffer from a lack of confidence in the US military that is unwarranted.

Buying a few weapons for one unit or the whole damn army of Turkmenistan is not as daunting a task as buying a new rifle for US military. Sheer numbers make the math formidable. And don't forget that money is not the only hurdle. The supply chain and support changes that will be needed are unbelievable.

Right now, if the US leaders have been paying attention at all... they are told that we need a rifle that shoots a bigger bullet farther but can also be configured as a sniper rifle but with quick change of a barrel become a PDW but should also function well as an automatic rifleman but have the ability to mount optics, laser designators, and night vision devices while being low maintenance and in its standard configuration be a select fire assault rifle with iron sights or optics but also share the same ammo with the M249 and our NATO allies. I may have left something out.

Until there is something so much better in an assault rifle that it makes sense to replace the M4, I would not make a decision either. The cost of replacing the M4 is significant. I have not seen one rifle yet that justifies the expenditure. Yes, some rifle and new calibers have niches. But overall, what does that one rifle do so well that it beats the cost of replacing a fleet of weapons?

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  11  0

- *Bob Z Mooser* responded to **fcp503** on February 27th, 2012 at 3:56 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

You didn't even mention the silly goose in the gillie suit or smash me for not loving the tacticoolness of it all. I'm kinda sad. 😞

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  7  0

- *Wresponed* to **fcp503** on February 28th, 2012 at 12:11 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

“Until there is something so much better in an assault rifle that it makes sense to replace the M4, I would not make a decision either. The cost of replacing the M4 is significant. I have not seen one rifle yet that justifies the expenditure. Yes, some rifle and new calibers have niches. But overall, what does that one rifle do so well that it beats the cost of replacing a fleet of weapons?”

I believe this contention is being unfair. Like I said before, when you stick to the 5.56 round and AR15 magazine, how can you ever hope a design will be “so much better”? you cannot. When you stick with these parameters, nothing short of a caseless rifle or phaser can provide radical improvement to warrant replacing the M4...assuming the technology has matured.

There are weapon systems that are more accurate, reliable, modular, and overall superior to the M4, though as long the military keeps sticking to the “it does not have measurable improvement” frame of thinking...nothing in 5.56 will have measurable improvement. What is this vague, elusive standard “for so much better” anyways?

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  5  0

- *Leonard* responded to **fcp503** on February 28th, 2012 at 9:42 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

“When you stick with these parameters, nothing short of a caseless rifle or phaser can provide radical improvement to warrant replacing the M4...assuming the technology has matured.”

Well, start asking H&K for the G11 blueprints then...an almost fully functioning caseless rifle right there. The main reason for it not becoming the standard service rifle of the Bundeswehr was that Germany massively reduced defence spending at that time, as the Cold War had just ended. Technically, the rifle was sound, except for the not fully solved problem of premature cook-off due to heat. But I'm fairly certain that could have been solved with some further research.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Heckler_%26_Koch_G11

Please rate this comment:  4  1

- *Wresponed* to **fcp503** on February 27th, 2012 at 3:42 pm [Link To Comment](#) |

*typo sorry

Please rate this comment:  0  0

3. *Cwrote on February 28th, 2012 at 7:14 am* [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

Is it me or do the heads of the soldiers wearing the blue berets look badly photoshopped?

Please rate this comment:  3  0

4. *REMOVwrote on February 27th, 2012 at 8:06 pm* [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

Funny thing, the next unit marched at the same parade in November 2011 got the Israeli CTAR-21s carbines 😊

Please rate this comment:  1  0

5. *Davidwrote on February 28th, 2012 at 2:36 am* [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

For a minute I thought the guy in the middle was just holding an upper receiver on top of an ammo box...took me a minute to realize it was an LMG of some sort, hah 😊

Please rate this comment:  1  0

6. *Lancewrote on February 28th, 2012 at 8:30 am* [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

Like the TAVOR is in some other Russian Republics these rifles are for troops attached to NATO or UN security forces. While regular Army still uses AK-74s.

Please rate this comment:  3  2

7. *Doesitmatter?wrote on February 28th, 2012 at 2:20 am* [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

I am really wondering how much money has “rich” Turkmenistan to spend on fancy spaghetti-rifle. Besides of chaging ejection from right to left, what else can you do with it? Can you convert it quickly to LSW or carbine? For them the AK was the best thing for another 100 years to come.

This comment has sparked a hot debate! What do you think?  6  9

- *Vitorresponded to **Doesitmatter?** on February 28th, 2012 at 5:17 am* [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

Well, you can fold the stock, something from that an AR can't since it's design requires a stupid giant buffer. And can run reliably of 1/10 of lub that the AR requires.

And the ARX-160 can actually change from carbine to a DMR rifle in 10 seconds since it has the quickest barrel change i've ever seen.

This comment has been well-received! What do you think?  8  1

- *Doesitmatter?responded to **Vitor** on February 28th, 2012 at 5:23 am* [Link To Comment](#) |

I guess I have to update myself on ARX. My spaghetti part of comment comes out of ‘spaghetti strainer’ like appearance. No ethnic pun is meant.

Please rate this comment:  2  1

- *hannibalresponded to **Doesitmatter?** on February 29th, 2012 at 1:27 am* [Link To Comment](#) | [Reply To Comment](#)

the arx160 can switch the ammo from 5,56nato to 7,62 soviet with a simple kit

the could take the arx 160 as a standard rifle without giving up the old caliber

Please rate this comment:  0  0

Leave a Comment

Comment Policy: I reserve the right to remove comments at my discretion. Think of comment threads like a dinner party at someone's house. If you make the party unpleasant for others or me, you won't be invited back. I am happy to tolerate a wide range of viewpoints, even extreme ones, but I'm not going to tolerate nastiness, rudeness, trolling, vitriol, or excessive snarkiness toward the author(s) or other commenters. You may make your case passionately, but civility is expected. Please stay on topic and respect the technical nature of this blog.

Spam Filtering: To avoid spam, comments are filtered using Akismet and then manually approved. Do not be alarmed if you comment does not appear instantly. I do not check the spam folder more than once per day. Comments are required to use valid email addresses.

Name (required)

Mail (hidden) (required)

Website

Submit Comment

Notify me of followup comments via e-mail

•

Steve (The Firearm Blog) Feb 27th 2012 Learn more about:


• [rifles](#)

Tags: [rifles](#) 21 Comments

Like { 6 } Send

Tweet { 2 }

0



-
-

- **Twitter**

- **Email Subscription**

[Subscribe here](#)

- **Facebook**

A Facebook social plugin for 'The Firearm Blog'. It features a profile picture of a handgun, the name 'The Firearm Blog' in blue, and 'on Facebook' in grey. Below this is a 'Like' button. A line of text states '13,900 people like The Firearm Blog.' Below this are six small square avatars of users: Waseem, Deej, sydcor, and three others. At the bottom, it says 'Facebook social plugin'.

Top Posts

- [Advertise on The Firearm Blog](#)
- [Ruger SR1911 .45 ACP Pistol](#)
- [M27 Infantry Automatic Rifle](#)

- [AK-200 rifle: The 21st Century AK](#)
- [Ruger LCR 357](#)
- [New Remington 1911 R1](#)
- [Bushmaster ACR launched!](#)
- [Ruger LCR: New .38 Special revolver](#)

• Categories

- **Gun Manufacturers**
- **Gun Calibers**
- **Gun Types**
- **Others**

.45ACP Logo © djukami CC Licensed. Header Image © US Coast Guard not Licensed. **The Firearm Blog** Copyright © 2012

Part of the [Guns For Sale](#) network of gun websites.

CLOSE X

 Loading Image...